

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,243	07/29/2005	Yuichi Takai	112857-463	9242
29175 K&L Gates L1	29175 7590 07/20/2009 K&L Gates LLP		EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 1135			HAN, KWANG S	
CHICAGO, II	. 60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/539 243 TAKAI, YUICHI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kwang Han 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

FUEL CELL SEPARATOR, FUEL CELL DEVICE, AND ELECTRONIC APPLIED DEVICE

Examiner: K. Han SN: 10/539.243 Art Unit: 1795 July 20, 2009

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 5, 2009 has been entered. The Applicant's amendment filed on July 20, 2009 was received. Claims 13, 23, and 24 were amended.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et
 JP 07-249419. machine translation) in view of Kobayashi (US 5258239).

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claims 13, Goto is directed towards a fuel cell (examples include phosphoric acid or solid oxide type which inherently include an MEA adapted to receive hydrogen gas as a fuel [0003]) separator comprised of the following:

- a separator body adapted to contact with a generating element (2, 3)
 creating a generating cell [0041, 0042],
- grooves (60, supply channel) formed on separator body (1), and
- flow quantity control valves (59, fluid oxidant supplying means) provided on separator body for supplying fluid oxidant into the fluid oxidant channel (Drawings 2 and 3).

Goto is silent towards the fluid oxidant supplying means comprises at least one element selected from the group consisting of a fan and a pump.

Goto and Kobayashi are analogous art because both deal with the same problem solving area of providing fluid flow through a fuel cell device. To one of ordinary skill in the art a metal-air cell is a type of fuel cell.

Kobayashi teaches the use of a diaphragm pump (Figure 2B) in a metal-air cell to provide air flow which is integrated with the cell casing (1) which forms the air flow channel (2) to provide air supply control and enhance the electrical characteristics of the cell (Column 2, Lines 14-18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Kobayashi's diaphragm pump in place of the flow control valve in Goto's separator because Kobayashi teaches that this pump is a device which can provide air supply control and enhance the electrical characteristics of the cell.

Art Unit: 1795

The rationale to support a conclusion that the claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art. (MPEP 2143)

Regarding claim 14, Goto et al. discloses a groove (60) having an opening exposed to one end of the separator body (Drawing 4) and a fluid flow quantity control valve (59) provided at the opening to provide a flow of fluid oxidant in the supply channel (Drawing 4) [Abstract].

Regarding claim 15, Goto et al. is directed towards grooves comprising a plurality of channels formed on the separator body (Drawing 4) and each of the channels have an opening with a plurality of supplying means provided at the openings of the channels to individually make a flow of the fluid oxidant in the channels [Abstract].

Regarding claim 16, Goto et al. is further directed towards a separator with a plurality of groups of adjacent channels (60) with each group of adjacent channels having an opening (Drawing 5) and a fluid oxidant supplying means (59) provided at the opening of the groups of the adjacent channels.

Regarding claim 21, the Applicant is directed towards the discussion for claim 13 above.

Regarding claim 23, the teachings of Goto et al. as discussed above are herein incorporated. Goto et al. is further directed towards a fuel cell body formed by stacking a plurality of generating cells with a pair of separators 100401 (Drawing 2).

Art Unit: 1795

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Goto et al. and Kobayashi as applied to claim 13 and 14 above, and further in view of
 Shiue et al. (US 6500575).

Regarding claims 17-20 the teachings of Goto as discussed above are herein incorporated. Goto et al. discloses a flow quantity control valve (59, 69) having a fin to be oscillated (Drawing 3) to provide a flow of said fluid oxidant and an actuator (bimetal) [0018, 0020] comprised of a shape memory alloys [0020] with different coefficients of thermal expansion [0045] for driving the fin but is silent towards the fluid oxidant supply means being a fan.

Shiue teaches the use of a micro fan in a zinc-air cell to control air flow between air pathways [Abstract] which are fabricated with an actuator comprising a piezoelectric (Column 5, Lines 20-46) for the benefit of providing air draft in the cell used to generate electricity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Shiue's micro fan as the fluid oxidant supply means in Goto's fuel cell because Shiue teaches it as a means to provide greater air draft.

 Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al. and Kobayashi as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Shiue et al. (US 6500575) and Khandkar et al. (US 5856035).

The teachings of Goto et al. and Kobayashi as discussed above are herein incorporated. Goto further discloses the fluid oxidant channels to be formed inside of

Art Unit: 1795

the separator body, extending along the surface (Drawings 5, 6), but is silent towards the opening elongated in the transverse direction and the fluid oxidant supply means comprising a rotary fan.

Khandkar teaches the use of a separator (44) which includes a trough structure (40, Figure 1) having an elongated opening for the benefit of providing cavities for fuel or air flow (Column 6, Lines 17-25; Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Khandkar's elongated opening within the separator structure of Goto and Kobayashi's fuel cell because Khandkar teaches this structure provides for a larger cavity to increase fuel and air flow.

Shiue et al. teaches the use of a micro rotary fan (Column 5, Lines 38-41) in a zinc-air cell to control air flow between separators [Abstract] for the benefit of providing air draft in the cell. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Shiue's micro rotary fan in Goto's separator modified by Khandkar's elongated opening because Shiue teaches it as a device which provides the maximum air draft.

 Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al. in view of Kobayashi and further in view of Pratt et al. (US 6127058).

The teachings of Goto et al. and Kobayashi as discussed above are herein incorporated. The applicant is directed towards the discussion concerning claim 13 above. Goto is silent towards an electronic applied device comprising a board wherein pluralities of fuel cell bodies are provided.

Pratt teaches a planar fuel cell where a plurality of fuel cell bodies (Figure 2; Column 4, Lines 31-38) are connected with each other on a board (24, frame) for the benefit of minimizing seals, piping, and electrical interconnections. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Pratt's planar fuel cell arrangement in Goto and Kobayashi's fuel cell because Pratt teaches it minimizes the fuel cell profile and use of seals, piping and electrical interconnections.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are:

(a) Kobayashi and Shiue are directed to a metal-air battery and are not relevant to the field of fuel cells.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments:

(a) As discussed in the previous office actions, metal-air battery are well known to one of ordinary skill in the art to be a fuel cell which requires a flow of reactant (air) to provide fuel for the cell to work. The Goto, Shiue and Kabayashi reference all recognize the need for a means to provide a flow of an oxidant material through the body of a fuel cell providing sufficient motivation to combine.

Art Unit: 1795

Contact/Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kwang Han whose telephone number is (571) 270-

5264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571) 272-1295. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

you have questions on added to anot invate it in a block of the block of the

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K H /

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795